arXiv:quant-ph/0403215v1 30 Mar 2004

Secure direct bidirectional communication protocol using the Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen pair block

Z. J. Zhang and Z. X. Man

Wuhan Institute of Physics and Mathematics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wuhan 430071, China

*Email: zhangzj@wipm.ac.cn

(Dated: February 1, 2008)

In light of Deng-Long-Liu's two-step secret direct communication protocol using the Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen pair block [Phys. Rev. A **68**, 042317 (2003)], by introducing additional local operations for encoding, we propose a brand-new secure direct communication protocol, in which two legitimate users can simultaneously transmit their different secret messages to each other in a set of quantum communication device.

PACS Number(s): 03.67.Hk, 03.65.Ud

Quantum key distribution (QKD) is an ingenious application of quantum mechanics, in which two remote legitimate users (Alice and Bob) establish a shared secret key through the transmission of quantum signals. Much attention has been focused on QKD after the pioneering work of Bennett and Brassard published in 1984 [1]. Till now there have been many theoretical QKDs [2-19]. They can be classified into two types, the nondeterministic one [2-14] and the deterministic one [15-19]. The nondeterministic QKD can be used to establish a shared secret key between Alice and Bob, consisting of a sequence of random bits. This secret key can be used to encrypt a message which is sent through a classical channel. In contrast, in the deterministic QKD, the legitimate users can get results deterministically provided that the quantum channel is not disturbed. It is more attractive to establish a deterministic secure direct communication protocol by taking advantage of the deterministic QKDs. However, different from the deterministic QKDs, the deterministic secure direct communication protocol is more demanding on the security. Hence, only recently a few of deterministic secure direct protocols have been proposed [15-16,19]. One of these protocols is Deng-Long-Liu's two-step quantum direct communication protocol using the EPR pair block [19]. It is provably secure and has a high capacity. However, this deterministic secure direct protocol is also a message-unilaterally-transmitted protocol as well as the protocols in [15-16], i.e., two parties can not simultaneously transmit their different secret messages to each other in a set of quantum communication device. In general, convenient bidirectional simultaneous mutual communications are very useful and usually desired. In this paper in light of Deng-Long-Liu's communication protocol, by introducing additional local operations for encoding, we propose a secure direct bidirectional communication protocol, in which two legitimate users can simultaneously transmit their secret messages to each other in a set of quantum communication device.

Let us start with a brief description of the two-step protocol. Alice prepares an ordered N EPR photon pairs in state $|\Psi\rangle_{CM} = |\Psi^-\rangle = (|0\rangle_C|1\rangle_M - |1\rangle_C|0\rangle_M)/\sqrt{2}$ for each and divides them into

two partner-photon sequences $[C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_N]$ and $[M_1, M_2, \ldots, M_N]$, where C_i (M_i) stands for the C (M) photon in the ith photon pair. Then she sends the C photon sequence to Bob. Bob chooses randomly a fraction of photons in the C sequence and tells Alice publicly which photons he has chosen. Then Bob chooses randomly one of two measurement bases (MB), say σ_z or σ_x, to measure the chosen photons and tells Alice which MB he has chosen for each and the corresponding measurement result. Alice uses the same MB as Bob to measure the corresponding partner photons in the M sequence and checks with Bob's result. Their results should be anticorrelated with each other provided that no eavesdropping exists [16]. If Eve is in the line, they have to discard their transmission and abort the communication. Otherwise, Alice performs the unitary operations on the unmeasured photons in the M sequence to encode her messages according to the following correspondences: $U_0 \leftrightarrow 00$; $U_1 \leftrightarrow 01$; $U_2 \leftrightarrow 10$; $U_3 \leftrightarrow 11$, where $U_0 = I = |0\rangle\langle 0| + |1\rangle\langle 1|$, $U_1 = \sigma_z = |0\rangle\langle 0| - |1\rangle\langle 1|$, $U_2 = \sigma_x = |1\rangle\langle 0| + |0\rangle\langle 1|$, $U_3 = i\sigma_y = |0\rangle\langle 1| - |1\rangle\langle 0|$. Then Alice sends Bob the photons on which unitary operations has been performed . After Bob receives the photons, he perform Bell-basis measurement on each with its partner photon in the initial pair. Since $U_0|\Psi^-\rangle = |\Psi^-\rangle$, $U_1|\Psi^-\rangle = |\Psi^+\rangle$, $U_2|\Psi^-\rangle = |\Phi^-\rangle$ and $U_3|\Psi^-\rangle) = |\Phi^+\rangle$, Bob can extract Alice's encoding according to his measurement results. By the way, in Alice's second transmissions, a small trick like message authentification is used by Alice to detect on Eve's attack without eavesdropping. In [19], the security of the two-step protocol is proven.

Let us turn to our protocol. We only revise the two-step protocol in a subtle way, however, the function of the protocol is changed excitedly, i.e., two legitimate users can transmit simultaneously their different secret messages to each other in a set of quantum communication device. When Bob receives the photons on which Alice has performed unitary operations to encode her messages, he does not perform the Bell-basis measurements on each with its partner photon in the initial pair at once but carry out a unitary operation (i.e., U_0, U_1, U_2 or U_3) on anyone photon of the initial pair to encode his own message. After his unitary operations Bob performs the Bell-basis measurements on the photon pairs and publicly announces his measurement results. Since Bob knows which unitary operation he has performed on one photon of each pair, he can still extract Alice's encodings according to his measurement results (See Table 1). Meanwhile since Alice knows which unitary operation she has performed on one photon of each pair, also she can extract Bob's encodings according to Bob's public announcements of his measurement results (See Table 1). So far we have proposed a deterministic direct bidirectional communication protocol.

Table 1. Corresponding relations among Alice's, Bob's unitary operations (i.e., the encoding bits) and Bob's Bell measurement results on the photon pair. Alice's (Bob's) unitary operations are listed in the first column (line).

	$U_0(00)$	$U_1(01)$	$U_2(10)$	$U_3(11)$
$U_0(00)$	$\|\Psi^-\rangle$	$\|\Psi^+\rangle$	$\|\Phi^-\rangle$	$\|\Phi^+\rangle$
$U_1(01)$	$\|\Psi^+\rangle$	$\|\Psi^-\rangle$	$\|\Phi^+\rangle$	$\|\Phi^-\rangle$
$U_2(10)$	$\|\Phi^-\rangle$	$\|\Phi^+\rangle$	$\|\Psi^-\rangle$	$\|\Psi^+\rangle$
$U_3(11)$	$\|\Phi^+\rangle$	$\|\Phi^-\rangle$	$\|\Psi^+\rangle$	$\|\Psi^-\rangle$

Let us discuss the security of our protocol. Before Bob's announcement, the present protocol is only nearly same with the two step protocol due to the additional unitary operations of Bob. However, since all the photons are in Bob's hand, Eve can not know which unitary operation Bob has performed at all. In fact, in this case the essence of our protocol is the two-step protocol. Hence it is secure for Bob to get the secret message from Alice according to his Bell-basis measurements. Although later Bob publicly announces his Bell measurement results, because he has performed unitary operations which Eve can not know at all, Eve still can not know which unitary operations Alice has ever performed. Hence, it is still secure for Bob to get the secret message from Alice via our protocol. Now that Eve can not know which unitary operations Alice has performed and Bob publicly announces his measurement results, Alice can securely know which unitary operation Bob has ever performed, i.e., she can extract securely Bob's encodings. Hence the present quantum dense coding protocol is secure against eavesdropping. As for Eve's attack without eavesdropping, we can also adopt the strategy as the trick in [19] to detect it.

To summarize, we have proposed a deterministic secure direct bidirectional communication protocol by using the Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen pair block. In this protocol two legitimate users can simultaneously transmit their different secret messages to each other in a set of quantum communication device.

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 10304022.

[1] C. H. Bennett and G. Brassard, in *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computers, Systems and Signal Processings, Bangalore, India* (IEEE, New York, 1984), p175.
[2] A. K. Ekert, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 661 (1991).
[3] C. H. Bennett, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 3121 (1992).
[4] C. H. Bennett, G. Brassard, and N.D. Mermin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 557(1992).
[5] L. Goldenberg and L. Vaidman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 1239 (1995).
[6] B. Huttner, N. Imoto, N. Gisin, and T. Mor, Phys. Rev. A **51**, 1863 (1995).
[7] M. Koashi and N. Imoto, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 2383 (1997).
[8] W. Y. Hwang, I. G. Koh, and Y. D. Han, Phys. Lett. A **244**, 489 (1998).
[9] P. Xue, C. F. Li, and G. C. Guo, Phys. Rev. A **65**, 022317 (2002).
[10] S. J. D. Phoenix, S. M. Barnett, P. D. Townsend, and K. J. Blow, J. Mod. Opt. **42**, 1155 (1995).
[11] H. Bechmann-Pasquinucci and N. Gisin, Phys. Rev. A **59**, 4238 (1999).
[12] A. Cabello, Phys. Rev. A **61**,052312 (2000); **64**, 024301 (2001).
[13] A. Cabello, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 5635 (2000).
[14] G. P. Guo, C. F. Li, B. S. Shi, J. Li, and G. C. Guo, Phys. Rev. A **64**, 042301 (2001).
[15] A. Beige, B. G. Englert, C. Kurtsiefer, and H.Weinfurter, Acta Phys. Pol. A **101**, 357 (2002).
[16] Kim Bostrom and Timo Felbinger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 187902 (2002).
[17] G. L. Long and X. S. Liu, Phys. Rev. A **65**, 032302 (2002).
[18] F. G. Deng and G. L. Long, Phys. Rev. A **68**, 042315 (2003).
[19] F. G. Deng, G. L. Long, and X. S. Liu, Phys. Rev. A **68**, 042317 (2003).